



LLG Performance Assessment

LLG Name: Gombe Div

Nansana Municipal Council

(Vote Code: 725)

Assessment	Scores
LLG Performance Assessment	78%

No.	Summary of requirements	Definition of compliance	Compliance justification	Score
-----	-------------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	-------

A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures

1	<p>The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.</p>	<p>There was evidence of functionality of Ward administrative committees in the 11 Wards, well constituted with 7 members as per the PDM guidelines.</p> <p>11 SACCO's were formulated from the enterprise groups selected. There was also evidence that the PDC mobilized 299 Enterprise groups that eventually formed the 11 ward SACCO's. These enterprise groups submitted various projects ranging from agriculture., animal husbandry and other business enterprises.</p>	2
2	<p>LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.</p>	<p>There was evidence of data collected by the 11 Town Agents profiling their Wards. The data was analyzed by gender. The Division also had PDM household data collection and parish profiling using the PDMIS system ongoing.</p>	2

3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the Division had mapped NGO's and that they were involved in raising awareness on PDM. The NGO's included Buwasa Youth Development Association (BYDA), Heifer Project International, Save the Children International and Uganda Youth Development Link.</p>	2
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0</p>	<p>Evidence was not provided.</p>	0
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:</p> <p>iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence provided by the SATC showing priority enterprises identified from the participatory planning meetings conducted at village level guiding the Village Executive Committees. Field and monitoring visits were also done.</p>	2

B. Planning and Budgeting

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that the AWP and Budget for the current fy were consistent with Division Approved development plan III i.e. Under works; the projects in the AWP and 5 year development plan were Kungu-World ahead-Kiryagonja Road grading and installation of culverts, Under Education, Construction of a 2 classroom block at Ssayi Bright P/S, Procurement of half and acre of land in Gombe ward to host Gombe division headquarters.</p>	1
	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>			
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.</p>	<p>The AWPB were consistent with the ranked priorities from ward i.e. Grading and culvert installation along Kungu-world ahead-Kiryagonja road, Construction of a 2 classroom block at Ssayi Bright Primary School, tree planting along major roads.</p>	1
	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>			
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence provided by the SATC showing consistency of the AWP and budget with the outcomes from the Budget conference; i.e. The procurement of land to host Gombe Division headquarters, tree planting along major roads,</p>	1
	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>			

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p>	<p>iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>The was evidence provided that Division Council budgeted for the procurement of land to host the Division head quarters to be funded under locally raised revenue and tree planting under major roads.</p>	1
	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>		<p>The Division also budgeted for the construction of a 2 classroom block at Ssayi Bright P/S under UDDEG.</p>	
			<p>Kkungu-World ahead-Kiryagonja road to be graded and have drainage improvement works using property tax.</p>	
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p>	<p>v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0</p>	<p>from the SATC evidence was availed that the capital investments for the current FY were captured in the AWPB and their project profiles we developed and the prescribed format was followed. these investments include procurement of class room desks in UPE schools especially Lwadda ps, Ssanga ps, kigoogwa UMEA ps, Buwambo ps and many others. maintenance of division roads like kungu -world ahead- kiryagonja rd, kakerenge- bibbo -buwambo rd, busakya-galamba-jjagala rd, and world ahead- kasalirwe-nasse rd</p>	1
	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>			
4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p>	<p>vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence provided that the Division budget was submitted on 14th May 2022.</p>	1
	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>			

5	Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	The procurement plan was submitted on 29th April 2022	2
---	--	--	---	---

6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	There was evidence provided that the Division Council budgeted to use UDDEG as per the guidelines i.e. 10% equivalent to 12,166,999 was allocated to conduct monitoring and supervision of capital works and investment service costs, 10% equivalent to 12,166,999 was allocated to parish planning activities and 80% was earmarked for construction of a 2 classroom block at Ssayi Bright P/S and maintenance of Kakernege-Bibbo-Buwambo Rd.	2
---	---	--	--	---

C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization) Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	From the evidence provided, the Division Council budgeted to collect UGX 1,449,540,000 however 1,275,476,642 was realized in the previous showing a deviation of 12%	0
---	--	---	--	---

8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year. Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	The Division collected UGX 954,210,023 IN FY 2020/2021 and 1,275,476,642 was collected in fy 2021/22 showing and increase by 25%.	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	From the review of AFS of the previous financial year, 35% of budgeted revenue was remitted to lower administrative units amounting to UGX 142,905,675	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	From a review of the AFS and budget, 130,095,000 was spent on Councilors' allowances equating to 8.97%	1
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	The Division spent 14,597,000 on O&M equivalent to 5.4%	1

9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.</p>	<p>Locally raised revenue performance was publicised and projects that include; Grading of Mwererwe-kyambizi Rd (1.8km) and Lakeeri Rd (2km) were pinned on the notice</p>	1
---	--	---	--	---

D. Financial Management

10	<p>The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0</p>	<p>The Division submitted the AFS on 29th August 2022.</p>	4
----	--	---	--	---

11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>Q1 Report was submitted on 15th October 2021</p>	1
----	--	--	---	---

11 The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0

Q2 was submitted on 12th January 2022

1

Maximum score is 6

11 The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0

Submitted on 15th April 2022

1

Maximum score is 6

11	<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0</p>	<p>Submitted on 15th July 2022 with funding for PDM Reported under PDM data collection and household profiling.</p>	3
----	--	--	---	----------

E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>The SATC provided evidence of appraisal of all staff including extension workers. This was seen from the submissions of appraisal forms of all staff including extension workers</p>	2
12	<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>There was evidence that all the 25 head teachers of the government aided primary schools were assessed in the previous school calendar year from the submitted appraisal forms well signed by the Municipal Education Officer and the responsible officer however, they were not appraised by the 30th June 2022</p>	0

12	Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG: (iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else	There was evidence provided by the SATC that the 4 Health Centre incharges i.e. the incharges of Ttikalu hc III, Gombe hc II, Migadde II, Matgga Hc ii were appraised by 30th June 2022.	2
13	Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has (i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	there was evidence that the staff lists were publicized, existence of a staff register and performance reports for all staff was present.	3
13	Staff duty attendance Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG has (ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	there was evidence that staff attendance analysis was done for all staff in the 12 months	3

F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	The Division spent the DDEG funds on Gombe Division Rd, procured 129 desks and delivered to selected UPE schools (80%), 10% was spent on Monitoring and investment service costs, 10% was spent on Parish Planning activities as prescribed by the guidelines in the previous fy.	2
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2	A positive deviation of 4.5% was registered attributed to supplementary funding received PDM and EU DDEG support received in June 2022. The actual revenue of 899,000,000 realized was from the budgeted 890,423,368	2

16	<p>Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :</p> <p>If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3</p> <p>If 70% -90%: Score 2</p> <p>If less than 70 %: Score 0.</p>	<p>The Division budgeted and implemented all projects apart from rehabilitation of classroom block at Ssayi bright P/S</p>	3
----	---	--	--	----------

G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	<p>The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0</p>	<p>No evidence provided</p>	0
18	<p>The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>No evidence provided</p>	0

18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	No evidence	0
	Maximum score is 2			

19	The LLG has a functional land management system	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	there was evidence of a fully constituted area land committee with 5 members. the minutes of the committee were also presented for the previous FY	1
	Maximum score 1			

H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	No evidence	0
	Maximum score is 3			

21	<p>Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:</p> <p>If all schools (100%) - score 4</p> <p>If 80 – 99% – score 2</p> <p>If 60 to 79% score 1</p> <p>Below 60% score 0</p>	<p>there was evidence of monitoring reports for all the 25 schools in the division as per reports dated 1/03/222 and 22/june/2022</p>	4
22	<p>Existence and functionality of School Management Committees</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0</p>	<p>Minutes all school management committees for 25 government aided primary schools were availed and they are fully functional.</p>	3

I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23	<p>Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0</p>	<p>The SATC provided reports to the effect i.e. in the 4th Quarter, the Senior Health Inspector conducted sensitization and support supervision at Kitungwa P/S, St. Andrews migadde P/S, Nabinaka P/S, Lwadda P/s, Building Tomorrow P/S as a way of increasing knowledge of wastes management and ensuring sanitation and hygiene in the schools and communities there around.</p>	3
----	---	--	--	---

24	<p>The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0</p>	<p>Monitoring and supervision of health service delivery in health centres was done and reports were reviewed in the 2nd Quarter fy 2021/22</p>	4
25	<p>Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0</p>	<p>there was evidence of fully established and operational health unit management committees for the 4 health centres ie matugga HCIII with 6 members, Ttikalu HCIII with 9 members , Migadde HCIII with 6 members and Gombe HCII with 6 members</p> <p>minutes of the meetings were also presented fully signed by the chairperson with attendance lists attached as well</p>	3

J. Water & Environment Services Management

26	<p>Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0</p>
----	---	---

27 The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY
 Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0
 Maximum score is 3

28 Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees
 Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0
 Maximum score is 2

29 Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities
 Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0
 Maximum score is 2

K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines Maximum score 2	(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0	Not applicable	0
----	--	---	----------------	----------

30	Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines	(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:	Not applicable	0
	Maximum score 2	20% in 2022/23		
		30% in 2023/24		
		40% in 2024/25		
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0	Not applicable	0
	Maximum score 3			
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0	Not applicable	0
	Maximum score 3			
31	Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines	(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0	Not applicable	0
	Maximum score 3			

32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0	N/A	0
	Maximum score 2			
32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan	(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0	N/A	0
	Maximum score 2			
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0	N/A	0
	Maximum score is 3			
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0	N/A	0
	Maximum score is 3			

33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure Maximum score is 3	(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0	N/A	0
----	---	--	-----	---

L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	The SATC provided documentary evidence on reports showing on farm visits to Jave Farm in Mwererwe, data was collected and analyzed using e-tools. The division conducted data collection on different statistics,	2
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings Maximum score is 2	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	Farmer awareness and sensitization was done through on-farm visits and reports are in place.	2

36	<p>The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>The SATC provided monthly monitoring reports by extension staff in the field and sensitization done i.e. a report on the farm visit conducted on 10/05/2022 at Pastor Senyonga in Mwererwe Ward aimed at examining farm waste disposal. The team constituted of Wannume Johnson, Ms. Namakula Irene (Assistant Agricultural Officer) and Ssebbaale Sekate (Councilor, Mwererwe Ward)</p>	2
37	<p>Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.</p>	<p>From a review of on farm visit reports presented by the SATC, there was evidence showed that on farm visits were done and submitted to the Production Office.</p>	2
38	<p>The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>On farm visits were conducted and reports were availed i.e. Farm visit at Jjave farm on the 10th May 2022</p>	2